USD#

332

USD Form 150 2017-2018 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget - Lines 1 through 21

1.	2017-18 Adjusted FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 yr old at-risk.) (from Table I or Table IV)	=_	157.0
2.	Estimated 9-20-2017 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (f) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE) 0.0 + 0.0	=_	0.0
3.	2017-18 Total Adjusted FTE Enrollment including 4 yr old at risk (Line 1 + Line 2)	=_	157.0
4.	Estimated 9-20-2017 weighted low enrollment and high enrollment. (from line 3) 157.0 x 0.863240 factor (from Table II)	=_	135.5
5.	Estimated 2017-18 Bilingual Weighting (a) (b) A. $(9/20/17 \text{ Contact Hrs} $	=	0.0
6.	Estimated 2017-18 weighted Career Technical Education (CTE) weighting (c) (9/20/17 CTE contact hrs		3.0
7.	Estimated 2017-18 At-Risk Student weighting (d) A. 9/20/17 Hdct	=_	19.4
8.	Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student Weighting (from Table VI, Line 2)	=_	0.0
9.	Estimated 2017-18 School Facilities Weighting (e) 9/20/17 School Facilities FTE	=_	0.0
10	D. Estimated 2017-18 Transportation Weighting (Table III, Line 6) 95,915 ÷ \$4,006	=_	23.9
11	1. Estimated 2017-18 Ancillary School Facilities Weighting. Amt approved by Board of Tax Appeals ÷ \$4,006	=_	0.0
12	2. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (g) 252,880 ÷ \$4,006	=_	63.1
13	3. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amount approved by Board of Tax Appeals0 x .50 ÷ \$4,006		0.0
14	Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment	=_	0.0
15	5. Estimated 2017-18 Virtual State Aid (Table V, Line 4)	=_	\$0
16	6. Estimated 2017-2018 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 14 times BASE + Line 15) 401.9 x \$4,006 + 0	=_	\$1,610,011
17	7. Estimated Cost of Living weighting (Must have 31% LOB) \$0 \(\ddots\) \$4,006 (maximum allowed for this district) (Amt district will use, up to the maximum)	=_	0.0
18	3. Total 2017-2018 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) x \$4,006 + 0	=_	\$1,610,011
19	9. 2017-18 Extraordinary Need State Aid (General Fund)	=_	\$0
20	D. Total General Fund Budget Authority (Form 150 Line 18 + Line 19)		\$1,610,011
Lo	ocal Option Budget See Form 155		
21	1. Estimated 2017-2018 LOB General Fund budget (excludes Virtual & FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current yr Spec Ed)	_	\$1 774 092

		TABLE	I - Declining Enrollmen	t Calculation		USD#	332	
1. September 20, 2016, FTE	enrollment (Excludes 4)		-				=	144.0
2. September 20, 2015, FTE	•		=	•			=	135.0
3. FTE adjusted enrollment f	•		=	•			=	144.0
4. Total FTE adjusted enrolli	ment including Kindergart	en FTE to fund	as 1.0. (Goes to page 1,		y provision; se		=	157.0
		TABLE II -	Low and High Enrollme					
Enrollment of District			_	Facto	r			
0 - 99.9 100 - 299.9 300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over				- 9.655 (E - 100)] 237500 (E - 300)]				
'E' is 2017-2018 Adjusted FT	E Enrollment (from Page	1, line 3)						
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0)								
{[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 30 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991								
		TABI	LE III - Transportation W	eighting/				
Area of district in square r	miles 9-20-2017						=	323.5
2. All public pupils transporte		tion is being ma	ade available 9-20-2017					
who reside in the district 2				70.0 +	2-20-18	0.0	=	70.0
3. Index of density = Line 2		_		70.0 divided	d by Line 1	323.5	=	0.22
4. Using index of density (Lin	ne 3), determine transport	tation weighting	factor.				=	0.3013
5. Estimated weighted FTE f 2.5 miles (line 2)	for transportation. 9-20-2 70.0	017 number of 1	resident students over	0.3013 factor	(Line 4) (to Lir	ne 10, Page 1)	=	21.1
6. Take higher of 2017-18 T	rans. State Aid	84,527	or 2016-17 Trans. Sta	ate Aid	95,915 (to	o Line 10, Page 1)	=	95,915
Does the district qualify for	or the Military Provision (fo		- 2017 Senate Bill 19 Mi ollment)?	litary Provision	NO	USD#	332	
2. 2014-15 Audited FTE enre	ollment (excludes 4 yr old	at-risk, Kinder	garten and Virtual)				=	149.8
3. Estimated 2-20-2015 FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk a If it doesn't meet criteria the	and Kindergarten) (Must b					0.0	=	0.0
4. 2015-16 Audited FTE enre	•	at-risk, Kinderg	garten and Virtual)				=	135.0
5. Estimated 2-20-2016 FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk a If it doesn't meet criteria the	and Kindergarten) (Must b	•				0.0	=	0.0
6. 2016-17 Audited FTE enre	,	at-risk. Kinderd	parten and Virtual)				=	144.0
7. Estimated 2-20-2017 FTE (Excludes 4 yr old at risk a If it doesn't meet criteria the	of new students of milita and Kindergarten) (Must b	ry families, not	enrolled on 9-20-2016.			0.0	=	0.0
8. Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrol	,	TE (Eycludes 4	vr old at risk Kindergarte	en and virtual)			_	149.8
 Sept. 20, 2014, FTE enrol Sept. 20, 2015, FTE enrol 	•	`	,	,				135.0
10. Sept. 20, 2016, FTE enro	•	•		*				144.0
11. 3 YR AVG FTE*: (149.8	+ _	135.0	+				174.0
	(line 8) 144.0)/3=	(line 9) 142.9	<u> </u>			=	0.0
* Excludes 4 yr old at risk	(line 10) , Kindergarten and virtual	; but includes 2/	goes to line 11) 20 military students if the		ilitary Provisio	n that year.		

14. Total FTE adjusted enrollment including Kindergarten FTE to fund as 1.0. (Goes to page 1, line 1 if eligible for military provision.)

144.0

157.0

12. 2017-18 FTE adjusted enrollment for budget purposes (higher of line 9, 10, or 11).

13. 9/20/16 KDG Hdct as 1.0 _____ 13 + 2/20/17 Kindergarten Headcount as 1.0

Vi	TABLE V rtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 7	72-3716)	USD#	332	
 Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for full-time stude Estimated 9/20/2017 FTE enrollment for part-time stude Estimated Virtual Credits* (19 years and older). Estimated Virtual State Aid 		0.0 X 0.0 X 0.00 X	\$5,000 \$1,700 \$709	= = = =	0 0 0 \$0
*No student shall be counted for more than 6 credits pe	er year.				
"Virtual School" means any school or educational prograr technologies which predominately use internet-based me occurs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in sepa progress toward the next grade level and matriculation from (5) requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in subject is enrolled as part of the virtual school; and (6) requires a	thods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction irate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make accome kindergarten through high school graduation; ect matter for each class or subject in which the	on that ademic ; pupil			
	TABLE VI		USD#	332	
	High At-Risk Weighting Calculation				
 Estimated 2017-18 Free Lunch Percentage (1B divided A. 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Headcount (from Open page) 9/20/17 + 2/20/18 Free Lunch Headcount (from Open page) 	•	= =_	165 40	=	24.24 %
2. Estimated 2017-18 High-Density At-Risk Student Weig A. USD Level (i or ii) i. High-Density At-Risk >= 50% (1B times 10.5%) ii. High-Density At-Risk >= 35% and < 50% (1B times 10.5%) B. SCHOOL Level ***Enter building enrollments ***Enter building enrollments	=	= <u>0.0</u> = <u>0.0</u> =	0.0	=	0.0
Page 1 footnotes:					
(a) Weighted FTE enrollment is computed by taking the tapproved bilingual class on 9-20-2017 and dividing by clock hours 0.0 ÷ 6 x 0.395	6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual stude		an		
(b) FTE is computed by taking the total headcount of biling approved bilingual class on 9-20-2017 and multiplying	by factor of 0.185. Total				
headcount <u>0</u> x 0.185 =	0.0000	(Record on Line 5)			
(c) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vo in an approved vocational class on 9-20-2017 and divisional clock hours	iding by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individu				
(d) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance the higher of 10% of their 9/20 plus 2/20 enrollment o		unch" for districts guarar	ntees		
(e) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD mu prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for co funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 ar	onstruction of new facilities or new schools that v				
(f) Four year old at risk students are counted as .5 FTE. of Education.	USD must be approved by the Kansas State De	epartment			
(g) Comes from form 118 (line 20).					
(NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the following	g Monday will be the official count date.)				

8/23/2017 1:04 PM Form 150 Page 3

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

e) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2014-15 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 or USD 475.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new classroom facility will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	<u>Headcount</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for example:		281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$4,006 = \$282,022

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =		
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom B = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	154 133	students for the day students for the day students for the day students for the day
	divide by _ = _	7 73.3	class periods FTE

Weighting for above example: $73.3 \times 0.25 = 18.3 \times 4,006 = $73,310$

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

1. Did the district receive Federal Impact Aid?

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget. Only eligible to schools that had a bond election prior to July 1, 2015 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.

Qualifying for Military Provision for Declining Enrollment (Goes to Table IV)

2. Did the district have a military dependent studen3. Did the district decline in enrollment for 2016-17	= NO = NO					
Qualifying for Military Provision for 2/20 weighti	ngs_					
Is the 2/20/18 Est. FTE Enrollment	0.0	>=25 or 1% of the 9/20/17 Est. FTE Enrollment	165.0	=	NO	

NO